


 सत्यमेव जयते	केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील) O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर भवन, सप्तर्षी मंजिल, पॉलिटेक्निक के पास, आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015 टेलीफोन : 079-26305065	 GST Building, 7 th Floor,, Near Polytechnic, Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015 टेलीफैक्स : 079 - 26305136
---	--	---

क फाइल संख्या : File No : **V2/8/GNR/2019-20** / **14675 TO 14679**

ख अपील आदेश संख्या : Order-In-Appeal No.: **AHM-EXCUS-003-APP-71-19-20**
 दिनांक Date : **18-03-2020** जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue: **08/06/2020**
 आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित
 Passed by **Shri Akhilesh Kumar**, Commissioner (Appeals) Ahmedabad

ग आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अहमदाबाद-III आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश : **29/AC/EX/MEH/2017-18**
 दिनांक : **12/29/2017** से सृजित

Arising out of Order-in-Original: **29/AC/EX/MEH/2017-18**, Date: **12/29/2017** Issued by:
 Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Div: Mehsana, Gandhinagar Commissionerate,
 Ahmedabad.

घ अपीलकर्ता एवं प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता
 Name & Address of the **Appellant** & Respondent
M/s. Archon Engicon Ltd

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

I. Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal issued under the Central Excise Act 1944, may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :
Revision application to Government of India :

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अंतर्गत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।


(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(iii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.



(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

ध अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35- षोबी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में दूसरा मंजिल, बहमाली भवन, असारवा, अहमदाबाद, गुजरात 380016


To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2nd floor, Bahumali Bhavan, Asarwa, Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 की धारा 6 के अंतर्गत प्रपत्र इए-3 में निर्धारित किए अनुसार अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरणों की गई अपील के विरुद्ध अपील किए गए आदेश की चार प्रतियाँ सहित जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000/- फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या 50 लाख तक हो तो रूपए 5000/- फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 50 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 10000/- फीस भेजनी होगी। की फीस सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में संबंध की जाये। यह ड्राफ्ट उस स्थान के किसी नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक की शाखा का हो

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scribble work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.



(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन और संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्टेट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1984 की धारा 35फ के अंतर्गत वित्तीय(संख्या-2) अधिनियम 2014(2014 की संख्या 25) दिनांक: 06.08.2014 जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्चित की गई पूर्व-राशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "माँग किए गए शुल्क" में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
- (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

→ आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम, 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्जी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होंगे।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25 of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores,
Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

→ Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(6)(i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

(6)(i) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

II. Any person aggrieved by an Order-in-Appeal issued under the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017/Integrated Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017/Goods and Services Tax (Compensation to States) Act, 2017, may file an appeal before the appropriate authority.



ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s Archon Engicon Ltd., Plot No.1,2,3 & 5, Navkar Industrial Estate, SantejKhatraj Road, PO:Santej, Taluka-Kalol, District: Gandhinagar (Gujarat) [hereinafter referred to as "appellant"] has filed this appeal against Order-in-Original No.29/AC/EX/Meh/17/17-18 dated 29.12.2017 (received by them on 24.08.2018) [hereinafter referred to as "impugned order"] passed by the Assistant Commissioner of CGST, Mehsana Division, Gandhinagar Commissionerate [hereinafter referred to as "adjudicating authority"].


2. Facts of the case, in brief, are that the appellant is engaged in manufacturing of excisable goods falling under chapter 72 and 73 of the Central Excise Tarff Act, 1985 and also engaged in exporting of their finished goods without payment of duty for which they have executed a Letter of Undertaking. It appeared to the Department that the appellant had exported goods under various ARE-1s as per provision of Notification No.42/2001-CE(NT) dated 26.06.2001 but had not submitted required documents evidencing actual export, within six months from the date of clearance of goods from their factory premises as per condition (ii) of the said Notification. As they had violated the condition of the Notification ibid, a Show Cause Notice dated 29.12.2017 was issued to the appellant proposing recovery of Central Excise duty amounting to Rs.33,76,378/-along with interest, involved in the export of finished goods; confiscation of goods so cleared for exported, valued at Rs.2,70,11,022/-; and imposition of penalty under Rule 25 and 27 of the Central Excise Rules, 2002.

2.1 The adjudicating authority has confirmed the demand along with interest and imposed penalty of Rs.8,44,095/- under Rule 25 ibid. The adjudicating authority has further imposed Redemption Fine of Rs.67,52,755/- under Rule 25(1) of the said Rules ibid, in view of confiscation of goods.

3. Aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant has filed the instant appeal on the grounds that they had submitted export proceedings received in the Bank at the time of personal hearing before the adjudicating authority; that the order of confiscation of exported goods is not sustainable as the goods are not seized and available; that the redemption fine and penalty imposed is also not sustainable accordingly.

4. Personal Hearing in the matter was held on 05.02.2020. Shri R.R.Dave, Consultant, appeared for the hearing. He reiterated the submissions made in Appeal Memorandum.

I have carefully gone through the facts of the case and submissions made by the appellant in Appeal Memorandum and oral submissions made during Personal Hearing. It is observed that the issue to be decided in the instant appeal is whether



recovery of Central Excise duty confirmed due to non-submission of export documents within stipulated time periods prescribed under Notification ibid is correct or otherwise.

6. Before going into the merits of the case, I find that the appellant has filed EA-1 Appeal under Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 before the Commissioner (Appeals) along with Condonation of Delay application by post on 26.11.2018, against the impugned order dated 29.12.2017 (received by them on 24.08.2018). The said EA-1 Appeal was returned to the appellant on 03.12.2018 as they have not filed the said application along with mandatory pre-deposit amount of 7.5% of duty confirmed as required under Section 35 F of the Act ibid.

6.1 I find that as per Section 35 F ibid, the Commissioner (Appeals), shall not entertain any appeal under section ibid, unless the appellant has deposited seven and a half per cent of the duty, or penalty, is in dispute, in pursuance of an order passed by the adjudicating authority.


6.2 I further find that after pre-depositing the required amount under Section 35 F of the Act ibid, the appellant has again filed the said appeal along with the Condonation of Delay application on 26.04.2019.

7. As per Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944, the appellant is required to file the appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals) within sixty days from the date of communication of the impugned order and the Commissioner (Appeals) may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of sixty days, allow it to be presented within a further period of thirty days.


7.1. In the instant case, I find that the appellant has filed the appeal in question on 26.04.2019 against the impugned order dated 29.12.2017 (received by them on 24.08.2018) i.e. with a delay about six months from the stipulated time limit of two months as prescribed under Section 35 of the Act ibid. It is pertinent to point out here that though the appellant had submitted appeal papers against the impugned order on 26.11.2018 by post, which is also beyond the period of two months plus extended period of one month, without mandatory deposit of 7.5% and the same was returned to them on 03.12.2018 as it was filed without adherence to statutory requirement and hence it cannot be entertained under the provisions of Section 35 of the Act ibid and hence the same cannot be considered as an appeal filed on 26.11.2018. Therefore, in view of above discussion, the appeal filed by the appellant is hit by limitation as prescribed under Section 35 of the Act ibid and since the Commissioner (Appeals) has no power to condone the delay more than thirty days from the stipulated period of two months, the appeal filed by the appellant is required to be rejected as time barred. Accordingly, I order so.



8. In view of above discussion, I reject the appeal filed by the appellant as time barred without going into the merits of the appeal. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.


(Akhilesh Kumar)
Commissioner (Appeals)
/03/2020

Attested by


(Mohanan V.V)
Superintendent (Appeals)
CGST, Ahmedabad.



By RPAD

To

M/s Archon Engicon Ltd.,
Plot No.1,2,3& 5, Navkar Industrial Estate,
SantejKhatraj Road, PO, Santej, Taluka-Kalol,
District Gandhinaga (Gujarat)

Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of CGST, Ahmedabad Zone.
2. The Commissioner of CGST, Gandhinagar
3. The Additional Commissioner of CGST, System, Gandhinagar
4. The Assistant Commissioner of CGST, Mehsana Division
5. P.A File
6. Guard file.

